[Rani* *et al.*, 5(9): September, 2016] ICTM Value: 3.00

ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 4.116



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY

DENOISING TECHNIQUE USING TRIMMED BILATERAL FILTERING METHOD

Komal Rani*, Gaurav Banga

*Research Schollar ECE Department GIMT Kanipla Kurukshetra Haryana, India Assistant Professor ECE Kurukshetra Department GIMT Kanipla Haryana, India

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.154221

ABSTRACT

This paper work presents an trimmed median filter Bilateral algorithms for the removal of impulse noise has been proposed with color images by separation red- green- blue plane of color image. This proposed algorithm shows better results than the Standard Median Filter (MF), Decision Based Algorithm (DBA), Adaptive Median Filter(AMF), Hybrid Filter Based Algorithm (HMF), and Trimmed Median Filter (TMF). The performance of the system is analyzed in conditions of Mean square error (MSE), Peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR) image enhancement factor (IEF) and time required for executing the algorithms for different noise density.

KEYWORDS: Image Processing, Noisng Technique, Denoising, Median filter.

INTRODUCTION

Various techniques have been developed to suppress noise and enhance image quality such as linear filters, nonlinear filters and wavelet threshold-based techniques. Almost all the techniques developed so far do not effort to diminish the effects of multiple noises. Hence, numerous hybrid techniques have been proposed to upturn visual quality of a received image by eliminating multiple noises [1] [2].

These digital images can be easily degraded by noise due to analog-to-digital conversion errors and faulty pixel elements in the camera sensors. Presence of noise significantly reduces the image quality and in many cases it increases the difficulty in successive processing. It is very important to remove noise in the images before ensuing processing, such as image segmentation, object recognition, and edge detection. However subtraction of noise from given noisy image is not an easy job at all, it is because images may be dishonored by different types of noise [3].

Noising Techniques

Real images are often degraded by some random errors this degradation is called noise. Image noise is the random deviation of brightness or color information in images created by the antenna and circuitry of a scanner or digital camera. The achievement or transmission of digital images in real world is often inhibited by different kinds of noise. The occurred noise may be Gaussian noise, Impulse noise, Rayleigh noise, Gamma noise, exponential noise, speckle noise, etc. The spatial property of a noise is that it is autonomous of spatial co-ordinates and uncorrelated with image itself. The presence of noise gives an image grainy, mottled, textured, or snowy appearance.

Some of the techniques apply simple filters, such as max filters, average filters, median filters, alpha-trimmed mean filters and Gaussian filters for image de-noising. These filters reduce noise at the cost of smoothing the image and moving the edge pixels. One of the widely used denoising techniques is a linear filtering technique, in which a ruined image is complex with constant matrix or kernel, which fails when the noise is non-additive. Any more type of denoising technique uses non-linear filtering techniques which are rich and controlling methods applied over corrupted noisy gray scale or color images to offer noise free image. One of the widely used non-linear techniques is Median filters based approaches, in which measures blurs the image if kernel size is increased, while removing the noise [5].

ICTM Value: 3.00

Impulsive Noise

Impulsive noise can be caused by malfunctioning camera photo sensors, optic imperfections, electronic instability of the image signal, aging of storage material, faulty memory locations in hardware or transmission errors due to natural or man-made processes.

ISSN: 2277-9655

Impact Factor: 4.116

Common sources of impulsive noise include also lightning, strong electromagnetic interferences caused by faulty insulations of the high-voltage power lines, car starters, and unprotected electric switches. These types of noise sources generate short time duration, high-energy pulses which disturb the regular signal, resulting in the acquisition of color image samples vary significantly from their local neighborhood in the image domain [6].

Pictorial Representation of Noise

Types of additive noises are able to be estimated by a selected flat region of the input image. The salt and pepper noise is easily eliminated in the flat region by using a median filter because this noise type has two pick values in the near minimum and maximum intensities of the input image [6].




Figure 1: Pictorial Representation of Noise

DENOISING TECHNIQUES

One of the most fundamental processes in image processing is image denoising. The aim of image denoising is remove noise from an image without destroying object boundaries. There are mainly two noise models: additive Gaussian noise and impulsive noise which can represent the most of noises captured [7].

As mobile phone camera is widely applied in actual life because of its convenience, people's requirement of it is becoming higher and higher. Image denoising algorithm has always been a hotspot in the field of image processing. To improve the quality of mobile image, the edge of the image shouldn't be blurred while denoising [8].

Multispectral / hyper spectral images are often noisy in many situations because sensors have narrower spectral sensitivity functions and thus capture less light than normal RGB imaging devices. Whereas various applications, such as classification, target detection, spectral unmixing, and change detection need detailed and accurate spectral information, the noise due to, for example, thermal electronics and dark current, unavoidably contaminates the image acquisition process, which disrupts detailed spectral information and furthermore degrades its performance in the listed applications. Thus, denoising the images is a crucial phase in the preprocessing steps of these applications. It is effective for image denoising methods to exploit inter-channel correlation as well as spatial correlation. Unlike channel-by-channel methods that tend to produce an imbalance of colors, nowadays many smoothing and denoising methods take inter-channel correlation into account to avoid color deterioration [9].

Median Filters

Median filter having the good denoising power has been the most popular filter. Its variations have been proposed in different type of median filter, median filter based on homogeneity information decision based trimmed median filters to improve its performance [9]. Various types of median filter can be defined as:

Vector Median Filter

One of the most popular methods based on cheap ordering, used in many filtering designs, is the Vector Median Filter (VMF). The VMF output is the pixel from W for which the calculation of cumulated distance to other samples is minimized. It is all the time one of the pixels of the filtering window, which is money-making as the filter does not introduce any new colors to the process image, but when all pixels of W are pretentious, for example by additional Gaussian noise, the output is also noisy. Many solutions devoted to the elimination of this undesired behavior were introduced, resulting in considerably better filtering performance. To increase the VMF efficiency, weights are assigned to the distances between pixels, which opportunity the central pixel of the filtering window, thus falling the number of unnecessarily altered pixels [7].

ISSN: 2277-9655 ICTM Value: 3.00 **Impact Factor: 4.116**


PURPOSED ALGORITHMIC DESIGN

- 1. Read color noise image.
- 2. Distinct the three plane of color of color image red-green-blue plane.
- 3. Select any of the planes (R/G/B).
- 4. Select 2-D window of size 3×3. suppose that the pixel being processed is Pij.
- 5. If the giving out pixel has values either greater than 0 and less than 255 i.e. 0<P_{ij}<255 then P_{ij} is an unaffected pixel and its value is left unaffected.
- 6. If P_{ii}=0 or P_{ii}=255 then it is a corrupted pixel and further proceeding is based on following conditions
- 7. Case i): If the selected window comprises all the elements as 0's and 255's. Then replace with the mean of the element of window.
- 8. Case ii): If the selected window comprises not all elements as 0's and 255's. Then eliminate 255 and 0's and find the average value of the remaining elements. Replace with the median value.
- 9. Repeat steps 4 to 6 until all the pixels in the full plane are processed.
- 10. Go to step 3 and Select next plane.
- 11. Restored all three de noise plane.

SIMULATION RESULTS

The Original Color Image is Peppers use Salt & Pepper noise and De-noised image using Median filter, Trimmed filter, Adaptive Filter, Hybrid Filter, Decision based algorithm, Trimmed Mean Adaptive Switching Bilateral Filter comparisons among them. With image matrices like PSNR, IEF, MSE.


Step1 Load the Original Color Image.





ISSN: 2277-9655 ICTM Value: 3.00 **Impact Factor: 4.116**


Step 2 Separate the Three Plane of Color of Color Image i.e. Red-Green-Blue Plane.



Step 3 Load the Distorted grayscale image Peepers of at the nose density level 0.9, we may include this density level 0.1 to 0.9.



Step 4 Filtered Image by Median Filter






[Rani* *et al.*, 5(9): September, 2016] ICTM Value: 3.00

Step 5 Filtered Image by Trimmed Filter


ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 4.116



Step 6 Filtered Image by Adaptive Filter



Step 7 Filtered Image by DBA Filter



http://www.ijesrt.com



[Rani* *et al.*, 5(9): September, 2016] ICTM Value: 3.00

Step 8 Filtered Image by Hybrid Filter



ISSN: 2277-9655

Impact Factor: 4.116

Step 9 Filtered image by Purposed Filter




Table No 1: Comparative Analysis of Image Metric Parameter (PSNR) Using Different Filter

Density	PSNR by	PSNR by	PSNR by	PSNR by	PSNR by	PSNR by
Level	Adaptive	Hybrid Filter	Conventional Filter	Trimmed Filter	DBA Filter	Purposed
	Filter					Filter
0.9	5.3442	5.8275	7.7313	15.7821	18.3642	30.4421
0.8	5.3006	5.8192	9.0932	19.7079	20.6720	35.4012
0.7	5.5521	5.8278	11.9220	22.6392	24.2185	38.4220
0.6	5.8079	5.6932	14.2016	25.8731	26.7232	40.4625
0.3	5.1563	5.6594	22.6231	37.6734	38.4532	46.8550
0.1	5.2356	6.1023	28.5356	41.2341	42.5123	47.5412



ISSN: 2277-9655 ICTM Value: 3.00 **Impact Factor: 4.116**





Chart 1: Comparison between PSNR and Noise Densities Table No 2: Comparative analysis of Image Metric parameter (IEF) using different filter

Density	IEF by	IEF by	IEF by	IEF by	IEF by	IEF by
Level	Adaptive Filter	Hybrid Filter	Conventional Filter	Trimmed Filter	DBA Filter	Purposed
						Filter
0.9	0.8391	1.006	1.4908	9.8285	12.7687	230.1087
0.8	0.8395	1.121	1.6433	21.182	31.8550	232.1754
0.7	0.7118	1.311	2.4545	43.8782	65.1478	234.1712
0.6	0.6256	1.451	3.5547	73.1846	99.6078	234.7925
0.3	0.7651	1.5321	19.3214	300.5621	342.4531	584.5631
0.1	0.1673	1.7564	26.8754	462.3421	523.2356	662.3276





ISSN: 2277-9655

Chart 2: Comparison between IEF and Noise Densities

Table No 3: Comparative analysis of Mean Square Error(MSE) using different filter

Density	MSE by	MSE by	MSE by	MSE by	MSE by	MSE by
Level	Adaptive Filter	Hybrid Filter	Conventional	Trimmed	DBA Filter	Purposed
			Filter	Filter		Filter
0.9	0.2641	0.3563	0.1522	0.0158	0.0193	11.8734
0.8	0.2771	0.3771	0.1312	0.0366	0.0167	11.8887
0.7	0.3332	0.3865	0.0209	0.0421	0.0222	10.5962
0.6	0.4232	0.3896	0.0178	0.0119	0.0419	12.6756
0.3	0.4423	0.6953	0.0132	2.4102	3.1121	6.9945
0.1	0.2786	0.7453	0.0123	4.9048	4.9241	5.7512




Chart 3: Comparison between MSE and Noise Densities



ICTM Value: 3.00 **Impact Factor: 4.116 CONCLUSION**

ISSN: 2277-9655

The performance of the algorithm is tested against colour images at low, medium and high densities, showing the effectiveness how impulse noise is removed through the colour images. It yields better results than existing methods even at very high noise densities of 80% and 90%. both visual and quantitative results are also demonstrated. The performance of the algorithm is compared with that of SMF, AF, HF, DBA, and TMF in terms of Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio Mean Square Error.

REFERENCES

- [1] Memoona Malik, Faraz Ahsan and Sajjad Mohsin, 2016. Adaptive image denoising using cuckoo algorithm, pp.926s
- [2] Pranay Yaday, 2015. Color Image Noise Removal by Modified Adaptive Threshold Median Filter for RVIN, pp.175.
- [3] Mayank Tiwari and Bhupendra Gupta, 2015. Image Denoising using Spatial Gradient Based Bilateral Filter and Minimum Mean Square Error Filtering, pp.638
- [4] Isma Irum, Muhammad Sharif, Mudassar Raza and Sajjad Mohsin, 2015. A Nonlinear Hybrid Filter for Salt & Pepper Noise Removal from Color Images, pp.79
- [5] Atluri Srikrishna, B. Eswara Reddy and Manasani Pompapathi, 2015. Pixon Based Image Denoising Scheme by Preserving Exact Edge Locations.
- [6] Sinem Özdemir and Bekir Dizdaroğlu, 2015. PDEs-Based Gaussian Noise Removal from Color Images,
- [7] Lukasz Malinski1 and Bogdan Smolka2, 2015. Fast adaptive switching technique of impulsive noise removal in color images.
- [8] Sara Behjat-Jamal, Recep Demirci and Taymaz Rahkar-Farshi, 2015. Hybrid Bilateral Filter.
- [9] Xue Han, Xiaobo Lu, Xuehui Wu and Chunxue Liu, 2015. An Edge Detection Based Anisotropic Denoising Method for Mobile Phone Images, pp.876.